

Town of Pembroke Roads Committee

311 Pembroke Street, Pembroke, NH 03275

MINUTES Tuesday, April 27, 2010

Meeting called to order at 6:00 P.M.

1. Attendance:

Present: Jim Boisvert, Chris Culberson, Buddy Curley, Henry Malo, Paulette Malo, Oscar Plourde, Brian Seaworth, Planning Board Rep., Selectmen David Sheldon
Unavailable:

2. New Business:

- a. Paving & Reclaiming Bid Results and BOS recommendation:** The Committee reviewed the paving bid results and Jim explained that GMI did give the Town a certificate of insurance and the only two bidders that do not have a clause are Pike and Brox. Pike's price is good for the whole year and Brox's price is good until the end of July. Jim explained that both GMI and R&D faxed their certificate of insurance within 5 minutes of the bid opening. Oscar believes that this is not fair because the certificate of insurance was supposed to be included in the bid package. Paulette asked Jim to explain the difference between the two types of pavement; type B and type E. Jim explained that type B is the ¾" binder and type E is the ½" finish with tack coat. Jim also said that R&D called and said that their reclaim price is \$1.70 and grading a section of dirt road would be \$0.94. Jim recommends Brox for the hot top and Brox for the reclaim.

Motion: Brian moved to make a recommendation to the Board of Selectmen to award the paving and reclaiming bid to Brox Industries with the intent that the paving be completed by the end of July 2010.

Seconded: By Paulette

Vote: All in favor, **motion passed**

- b. 2010 Road Improvement Project Update to recommend to BOS:** The Committee reviewed the 2010 Road Reconstruction and Paving Calculations and the Primary Roads for Reclaiming. Jim mentioned that Cross Country Road from Seventh Range to Sixth Range and Cross Country Road from Sixth Range to Fourth Range have to be reclaimed, fine graded and need 3" of top coat (2.5" compacted). Jim said that these two roads would be a binder for the first year and the finish coat the year after. Paulette mentioned that the committee should reserve \$50,000.00 from the paving budget for the Broadway engineering project. Jim questioned paving the dirt section of Cross Country Road and Henry said that he knows this road needs to be paved but on the other hand; there are only 312 cars a day traveling on this road according to the traffic count. Oscar questioned the number of houses

and the tax base on this road. Jim said there are ten houses and last year there was a letter sent in signed by eight homeowners about this road. Buddy mentioned that this road is a chore to keep maintained. Dave Sheldon questioned the maintenance cost on this road and Jim figured \$6,500.00 - \$7,000.00 per year. Chris asked Jim what he thinks is more critical to pave, Pine & Maple or this section of Cross Country Road and Jim thinks Cross Country Road is critical because this road is more dangerous in the winter with black ice. Dave thinks that Pine, Maple, etc. is more critical to pave. Chris suggested paving both sections of Cross Country Road and hold off for a couple months on the gravel part of Cross Country Road until we get the cost for the final design from KV Partners. Paulette calculated Jim's preliminary numbers for the drainage and Pine and Maple total \$310,000.00, which does not include Prospect or the rest of Pleasant St. Buddy recommends paving the two hot-topped sections of Cross Country Road. The roads that have been selected for paving are Cross Country Road from Seventh Range to Sixth Range and Cross Country Road from Sixth Range to Fourth Range.

Motion: Paulette made a motion to move forward with Cross Country Road from Seventh Range to Fourth Range Road of reclaiming and paving and changing out the cross pipes.

Seconded: By Brian

Vote: All in favor, **motion passed**

c. Letter from Kevin & Cheryl McCulloch re: Cross Country Rd. Dirt Section: Paulette stated that the people who wrote this letter live at 118 Hutchinson Road in Chichester and there is inaccurate information in this letter regarding a grader. The letter states that the Town sold their grader because most of the roads had been tarred. She also said that Pembroke has not had a grader since Dick Drew was Road Agent in approximately 1982. Henry recalls the grader was a 1958 Austin Weston and the table broke on it and they ended up selling it to Cohen's. Jim said that we put out a hired grader once a year. Paulette read the letter aloud to the committee. Henry questioned if this road has to be as wide as it is. Jim said that you could get 22 feet of pavement with good ditches. Dave recommends the Roads Committee write their recommendations based on this letter to the Board of Selectmen and then the Board of Selectmen will respond.

3. Any New Business:

a. Pine Street, Maple Street and Prospect Street Improvements – FINAL DESIGN (KV Partners): Jim mentioned that he enclosed a letter from KV Partners we received in March explaining the task order of Pine, Maple and Prospect Streets and how KNA surveyed the roads in 1996. The letter explained the differences of the proposed work in 1996 and what KV Partners recommends be done now. Oscar remembers Floyd said the design was too elaborate and expensive and the Town could not afford it. The Committee discussed the recommendations from KV Partners and reviewed the conceptual plans. Dave Sheldon mentioned that the Selectmen were concerned that this was not a completed plan for moving forward. Oscar questioned if the new Town Planner could do some engineering and Jim said that right now he is working on the Landfill Closure and the Well Monitoring project. Jim mentioned that David said the Town has a contract with KV Partners for this project. Chris questioned if the roads on the list are not paved, could the Town encumber the money and Oscar said that it could not be done. David explained that it could be encumbered if you have contracts. Paulette questioned the revenues from the State coming short \$35,000.00 and asked if the Selectmen were thinking of taking that money from the road budget and Dave

said it is a possibility. Jim said that David would know more on June 31st. The Committee discussed their concern about the plans being incomplete and how the profiles might not match up on Broadway if Broadway is done after the fact. Jim asked Dave if the Selectmen have discussed this project and what their feeling on this matter is and Dave said that there has been no further conversations other than they all agreed that they did not want to do the big elaborate plan down Broadway. Oscar recommends the engineer finish the plans and the committee review them after they are complete.

Motion: Paulette moved to make a recommendation to the Board of Selectmen that the final design for the Broadway project including Pine, Maple, Prospect, and Pleasant be completed.

Seconded: By Buddy

Vote: All in favor, **motion passed**

4. Any Old Business:

5. Adjourn

May 4, 2010 at 6:00 pm is the next meeting.

Motion to Adjourn:

Motion: Paulette made the motion to adjourn

Seconded: By Brian

Vote: All in favor, **motion passed**

Adjourned: 7:30 P.M